

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-26 are now pending. Claims 1-26 are rejected. Claims 1 and 7 were amended. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. An Examiner Interview was conducted on August 25, 2005, where the prior art references of Marmor and Carlino were discussed in reference with independent claims 1 and 7.

I. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of certain informalities and a suggestion was provided. The Applicant adopted the Examiner's suggestion and claims 1 and 7 are amended accordingly.

II. **Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103**

Claims 1-3, 5-9, and 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marmor (U.S. 6,601,108, hereinafter "Marmor") in view of Carlino et al. (WO 00/39666, hereinafter "Carlino").

Applicant respectfully submits that Marmor and Carlino, either singly or in combination, fails to teach, suggest or make obvious the present invention as claimed in independent claims 1 and 7. The present invention discloses a method and system for delivering content to a mobile device from a network site. There are four parameters that are identified with respect to the mobile device and the network site: communication protocol, programming and mark-up language, and natural language format. The cited reference of record do not teach either of the following claimed elements, as claimed in claims 1 and 7: determining which of the identified four parameters differ between a mobile device and a network site; converting a request for content from a network site, such that the identified four parameters of the request match the network site; or converting content such that the identified four parameters match the mobile device.

As the Examiner recognized in the Examiner's Interview conducted on August 25, 2005, Marmer discloses character conversion of the human language and does not disclose the claimed elements cited above. Carlino discloses converting content for mark-up data for wireless device. Either one of the cited references teaches, suggests or makes obvious the claimed elements cited

Application No. 09/965,137  
Amendment August 31, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of July 12, 2005

above. Furthermore, the combination of Marmor and Carlino fails to teach, suggest or make obvious at least the claimed elements cited above.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the independent claims 1 and 7 be withdrawn.

Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marmor in view of Carlino, and further in view of Murata et al. (U.S. 5,987,402, hereinafter "Murata"). Claims 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marmor in view of Carlino, and further in view of Raanan et al. (U.S. 6,311,278, hereinafter "Raanaan"), and further in view of Chin et al. (U.S. 5,825,775, hereinafter "Chin"). Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marmor in view of Carlino, further in view of borland.com Homepage (4/24/1999, [http://web.archive.org/web/\\_19990424111631/http://www.borland.com/](http://web.archive.org/web/_19990424111631/http://www.borland.com/), hereinafter "Borland").

Because the dependent claims related thereto include further limitations in addition to those recited in their corresponding independent claim, Applicants believe that all depending claims are also allowable over the cited references of record. Reconsideration of this rejection on view of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

Application No. 09/965,137  
Amendment August 31, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of July 12, 2005

**CONCLUSION**


In light of the remarks set forth above, Applicants believe that the present application is in form for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner have any question, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 24286-712).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 31, 2005

By:



Christiana State  
Registration No. 52,045

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
650 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050  
(650) 493-9300  
Client No. 021971